



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/543,054	03/24/2006	Reinhold Jarolim	566/44072	5686
23646 7590 10/29/2008 BARNES & THORNBURG LLP 750-17TH STREET NW SUITE 900 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-4675				
EXAMINER				
KELLY, CATHERINE A				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3634				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
10/29/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/543,054

**Applicant(s)**

JAROLIM, REINHOLD

**Examiner**

CATHERINE A. KELLY

**Art Unit**

3634

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) CATHERINE A. KELLY.(3) Richard Krinsky.(2) Katherine Mitchell.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 22 October 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant brought proposed claim changes. Applicant explained how amendment now clarified "lost movement" feature such that the guiding part interacts with the curved part of the guiding element so the transverse guiding devices do not move. Examiner agreed that, based on the explanation and cursory review, it appears that the proposed amendment would read over the applied art. Support for changes pointed out. Longitudinal clarified.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Catherine A Kelly/  
Examiner, Art Unit 3634

/KATHERINE W MITCHELL/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3634